ABSTRACT
Wind, a major alternative source of energy, provides dynamic output due to frequent weather changes, which introduces one of the biggest challenges in integrating it with the existing power system. Commercial wind power forecasters vary in their prediction accuracies both across the wind farm and for different time periods within a farm. Therefore, wind power generators (WPGs) employ multiple such forecasters and heuristically choose day-ahead-prediction from one of them (baseline model). In this work, we combine multiple forecasters to generate a superforecast for the day-ahead-prediction which is, expected to be better than individual forecasters in terms of penalty – the cost a WPG has to pay for inaccurate predictions. Performance evaluation using 6 months of SCADA and forecaster data, from a WPG, of a wind farm located in India, shows that superforecast reduced the penalty by 7% and 13% when compared with the least penalised forecaster for each month and the baseline model.

1. INTRODUCTION
Wind power production capacity reached 336GW (approx. 4% of the total electricity demand) by the end of June 2014 worldwide\(^1\). A single wind farm consists of multiple wind turbines that are expected to follow a standard power curve, as shown in Figure 1. However, each turbine deviates from the ideal power curve due to multiple reasons, including random variations in the wind, that are complex to model. Such deviations at the turbine level result in significant variation in power produced at the wind farm level complicating their integration with the existing power system. Across the world, there exist regulations that require wind power generators to submit prediction for each 15-minute window (09:00-09:15, 09:15-09:30, so on) for the next day (day-ahead-prediction). These predictions are then used for appropriate supply side management by the electricity distribution centers. However, due to weather based deviations, even commercial wind power forecasters are not able to accurately perform day-ahead-prediction. For some countries, there exist incentives and penalties for correctly and incorrectly predicting the day-ahead-generation. To optimise on such incentives and penalties, often wind power generators employ multiple wind power forecasters to provide the day-ahead-predictions and then choose the best forecaster heuristically. In this paper, we present some initial insights from a real world wind farm dataset along with a basic model to generate superforecast, combining multiple commercial forecasters. Proposed model is evaluated using real data from a wind farm in south-east India that includes SCADA data from the farm and forecaster data from 4 commercial forecasters employed by the generator. We evaluate

\(^1\)http://goo.gl/xSj50E
Figure 1: Bar charts showing error analysis with respect to the ideal forecast for each forecaster.

Figure 2: Results showing reduction in penalty in comparison to MLP and baseline models.

Table 1: Distribution of predicted power in buckets for two different scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>X1</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>X3</th>
<th>X4</th>
<th>X5</th>
<th>X6</th>
<th>X7</th>
<th>X8</th>
<th>X9</th>
<th>X10</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Now we discuss the metric, penalty, used for the evaluation of our model. We divide predicted power into the 10 buckets, each of size 10% of the actual power generated. Table 1 shows two scenarios; Case-1: When predicted power (P) is less than actual power generated (A) and Case-2: When predicted power is greater than the actual power generated. Buckets start filling up in order X1 → X5 and in order X6 → X10 for Case-1 and Case-2 respectively. Values in each of these buckets are calculated using Equation 1. \( X_0 = \min(P + 0.1A, A) \) if \( P \in [0.9A, 1.1A] \) and \( X_1 = \max(\min(P - 1A, 0.1A), 0) \) otherwise.

Now we discuss the metric, penalty, used for the evaluation of our model. We divide predicted power into the 10 buckets, each of size 10% of the actual power generated. Table 1 shows two scenarios; Case-1: When predicted power (P) is less than actual power generated (A) and Case-2: When predicted power is greater than the actual power generated. Buckets start filling up in order X1 → X5 and in order X6 → X10 for Case-1 and Case-2 respectively. Values in each of these buckets are calculated using Equation 1.

The baseline model, currently used by the generator for selecting the best forecaster, selected the forecaster with least penalty from the current week and used its day-ahead-prediction for the current week. Our simple regression-based model (Equation 3) learns weights for each forecaster from the last 15 days of SCADA and forecaster data for day-ahead-prediction. We also generate ideal scenario in which we select least penalised forecaster for a day and use prediction from the same forecaster for the same day. This gives us an idea of minimum penalty that we can achieve using our model (when using the same forecaster for the whole day).

\[
\text{penalty} = p_i \times X_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{4} p_i \times (X_i + X_{i+5})
\]  

\[
y = \sum_{i=1}^{4} F_i \times w_i + \epsilon
\]  

Figure 2b presents monthly distribution of penalty for superforecast in comparison with least penalised forecaster for that month (MLP), baseline scenario and the ideal scenario. Our model reduced the average penalty by 7% and 13% when compared to most accurate forecaster for the month and baseline model, respectively. Figure 2c shows the average of monthly variation in buckets for superforecast, baseline and least penalised forecaster for the month.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Wind is one of the major renewable sources of energy and require accurate day-ahead-prediction for integration into the existing power systems. Wind power generators use multiple forecasters to generate day ahead predictions for their wind farms as their accuracy varies across the year, day and wind speed. In this work, we propose a basic model to generate superforecast using predictions from multiple forecasters to reduce penalty over wind power generators in comparison to their baseline model. We analysed six-month data from a wind farm located in the southern east part of India and results show a reduction of approx. 7% and 13% in terms of penalty when compared with least penalised forecaster for the month and the current heuristic for selecting the best forecaster respectively. We are working on developing improved models to generate superforecast with better accuracy than simple regression-based approach.
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